

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,735 03/30/2001		Yojiro Matsueda	109098	4744	
25944	7590	7590 01/15/2004		EXAMINER	
	BERRIDGE,	PLC	KOVALICK, VINCENT E		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2673	フ
				DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
4) I	Office Action Summary	09/820,735	MATSUEDA, YOJIRO					
	onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	The MAIL INC DATE of this communication on	Vincent E Kovalick	2673					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing apatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 (	October 2003.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3)	<del>,</del>							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) 28 and 29 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,9,13-17,19,22-25 and 31-35</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>5,7,8,10-12,18,20,21,26,27 and 30</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) cobjected to by the l	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati	on No					
* S 13)□ A	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic action.	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
si 37	nce a specific reference was included in the fir 7 CFR 1.78. )   The translation of the foreign language pro	st sentence of the specification or	in an Application Data Sheet.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachment	t(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/820,735 Page 2

Art Unit: 2673

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Amendment dated October 31, 2003 in response to USPTO Office Action dated July 31, 2003. The amendments to claims 1, 14 and 17 have been noted and entered in the record.
- a). The rejection of claims 19 and 31-34 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph is herewith withdrawn in that the definition of "electro-optical conversion section" was sufficiently defined as set forth in para. 90 of the specification.

The objection to drawings is herewith withdrawn in that the "electro-optical conversion section" is shown in Fig. 7.

- b). As was discussed at the interview of October 30, 2003, the primary issue became whether or not having the memory cell that drive the brightness levels of the dots being located adjacent to the dots as oppose to not being adjacent to the dots constituted novelty. After consulting with the PTO Technical staff, the PTO position is in that the placement of the memory cell adjacent to dots **does not** constitute novelty in that the practice of placing control logic or associated functional logic as close to the elements it is driving is well know and in common practice in the art.
- c). Applicant's remarks relative to claims 1, 14 and 17 are rendered moot in that the argument addressed to claims 1, 14 and 17 is related to new limitations set forth in the current amendment, said limitations are addressed in the Office Action submitted herewith.

Regarding applicant's remarks relative to claim 2, applicant's remarks are not persuasive

Page 3

Art Unit: 2673

in light of the reasoning in item b) hereinabove.

Relative to applicant's remarks regarding claims 19 and 34 that Okumura et al. does not teach "electro-optical conversion", applicants remarks are not persuasive in that the image signal is supplied to the display panel where in turn it causes illumination of the pixel to the level corresponding to the incoming data signal (Okumura et al., col. 17, lines 23-55).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okumura et al. (USP 5,945,972).

Relative to claim 1, Okumura et al. **teaches** a display device, comprising: a plurality of write lines; a plurality of data lines; a plurality of dots disposed correspondingly to intersections between the plurality of write lines and the plurality of data lines, each of the plurality of dots that is minimum unit for displaying including (col. 17, lines 23-31 and Fig. 6): a storing section that stores a data signal to control display, the storing section having a memory cell configured by a static circuit and holding binary signals, and a number of the memory cells corresponding to a tonal level, a degree of the tonal level being determined by the data signal stored in the memory cells (col. 18, lines 27-41); and a display control section that performs display

Art Unit: 2673

control on the basis of the digital data signal held by the storing section (col. 17, lines 23-35 and Figs. 3 and 9).

The difference between the teaching of claim 1 of the instant invention and that of Okumura et al. is that Okumura et al. does not specifically teach the storing section that stores a data signal to control the display being contained in the memory cell.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide to the device as taught by Okumura et al. the feature of including the storing section in the memory cell in order to make the said storing section more compact in that it would contain both the memory elements and the display elements in the same unit as opposed to having the means (logic and signal channels) to transport the tonal level data from a storage source not co-resident with the display element.

control on the basis of the digital data signal held by the storing section (col. 17, lines 23-38). Relative to claims 31-32, Okumura et al. further **teach** said display device wherein each of the plurality of dots further comprises an electro-optical conversion section that performs an electro-optical conversion on the basis of a data signal supplied through a respective one of the plurality of data lines (col. 17, lines 23-55 and col. 18, lines 27-41 and Fig. 9).

Regarding claim 33, Okumura et al. **teaches** said display device wherein the electro-optical conversion section being a luminescent section (Abstract).

4. Claims 2, 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okumura et al. taken with Uragami et al. (USP 5,515,068).

Relative to claim 2, Okumura et al. teaches a display device comprising: a plurality of write lines; a plurality of data lines; a plurality of dots disposed correspondingly to intersections

Page 4

Art Unit: 2673

Page 5

between the plurality of write lines and the plurality of data lines, each of the plurality of dots that is a minimum unit for displaying (col. 2, lines 37-56 and Fig. 2b), including a storing section storing a data signal when a write signal is transmitted through a respective one of the plurality of write lines (col. 12, lines 55-60; col. 18, lines 27-41 and Fig. 9). Okumura et al. does not teach a converting section that converts a value based on a value of the data signal held by the storing section into an analog signal; and a display control section that performs tonal control on the basis of the analog signal converted by the converting section. Okumura et al. teaches a display device including a substrate, a plurality of pixels arranged in rows and columns on the substrate and a plurality of signal lines for providing an image signal to the pixels on a column by column basis wherein each of the pixels comprises a plurality of memory elements for storing image signal sent over a corresponding one of the signal lines. Uragami et al. teaches a semiconductor integrated circuit device to be utilized in a color palette device generating color pixel signals for a color display (col. 1, lines 64-67i and col. 2, lines 1-48); Uragami et al. further teaches a converting section that converts a value based on a value of the data signal held by the storing section into an analog signal (col. 5, lines 377-38 and col. 6, lines 32-37); and a display control section that performs tonal control on the basis of the analog signal converted by the converting section (col. 3, lines 44-67 and col. 4, lines 1-5). Uragami et al. discloses an integrated circuit device capable of outputting analog color signal of digital color signals.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide to the device as taught by Okumura et al. the feature as taught by Uragami et al. in order to enable the system to drive an analog display device. It would have been further obvious to

Art Unit: 2673

to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the storing section and the converting section with the dots for the same reasoning as set forth relative to claim 1 in item 3 hereinabove.

Relative to claim 3, Okumura et al. further **teaches** said display device the at least one storing circuit including a memory cell configured by a static circuit (col. 18, lines 27-41 and Fig. 8). Regarding claim 13, Uragami et al. further **teaches** said display device wherein the control section controlling light emission of current-driven luminescent devices in connection on the basis of the analog signal in place of performing tonal control using a liquid crystal, thereby effecting tonal control (col. 3, lines 44-67; col. 4, lines 1-5; col. 5, and col. 6, lines 32-37).

5. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okumura et al. taken with Uragami et al. as applied to claim 2 in item 4 hereinabove, and further in view of Matsueda et al. (USP 6,384,806).

Relative to claims 4 and 6, Okumura et al. taken with Uragami et al. **does not teach** a display device an analog signal being represented as a PWM waveform generated by the converting section; said converting section performing conversion into the analog signal at a constant period interval.

Okumura et al. taken with Uragami et al. teaches a display device including a substrate, a plurality of pixels arranged in rows and columns on the substrate and a plurality of signal lines for providing an image signal to the pixels on a column by column basis wherein each of the pixels comprises a plurality of memory elements for storing image signal sent over a corresponding one of the signal lines with a converting section that converts digital signals to

Page 7

Art Unit: 2673

corresponding analog signals with a display control section that performs tonal control on the basis of the analog signal.

Matsueda et al. **teaches** a digital driver circuit for electro-optical device and electro-optical deice having the digital driver circuit (col. 2, lines 66-67; col. 3, lines 1-67; col. 4, lines 1-67; col. 5, lines 1-67; col. 6, lines 1-67 and col. 7, lines 1-48); Matsueda et al. further **teaches** a display device an analog signal being represented as a PWM waveform generated by the converting section; with said converting section performing conversion into the analog signal at a constant period interval (col. 8, lines 60-65 and col. 9, lines 4-27 and Abstract).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the device of Okumura et al. taken with Uragami et al. with the DAC feature as taught by Matsueda et al in order to generate an input signal compatible with analog driven display devices.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okumura et al. taken with Uragami et al. in view of Matsueda et al.; as applied to claim 6 in item 5 hereinabove and further in view of Osada et al. (USP 5,973,456).

Relative to claim 9, Okumura et al. taken with Uragami et al. in view of Matsueda et al. does not teach said display device wherein alternating current drive voltage corresponding to the constant period being applied to said display control section.

Okumura et al. taken with Uragami et al. in view of Matsueda et al. teaches a display device including a substrate, a plurality of pixels arranged in rows and columns on the substrate and a plurality of signal lines for providing an image signal to the pixels on a column by column basis wherein each of the pixels comprises a plurality of memory elements for storing image signal

Art Unit: 2673

sent over a corresponding one of the signal lines with a converting section that converts digital signals to corresponding analog signals with a display control section that performs tonal control on the basis of the analog signal.

Osada et al. **teaches** an electroluminescent display device having uniform display element column luminosity (col. 1, lines 61-67 and col. 2, lines 1-57); Osada et al. further **teaches** said display device wherein alternating current drive voltage corresponding to the constant period being applied to said display control section (col. 3, lines 12-22).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide to the device as taught by Okumura et al. taken with Uragami et al in view of Matsueda et al. with the feature as taught by Osada et al. in order to apply the alternating current to the display devices in a uniform time period.

7. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okumura et al. as applied to claim 1 in item 3 hereinabove, and further in view of Kimura (USP 6,518,941).

Regarding claims 14 and 17, Okumura et al. does not teach said display device having a luminescent section including a plurality of luminescent elements having different areas; and an active devices section connected to the storing section and the luminescent section.

Okumura et al. teaches a display device including a substrate, a plurality of pixels arranged in rows and columns on the substrate and a plurality of write lines and data lines for providing image signals to the pixels on a column by column basis wherein each of the pixels comprises a plurality of memory elements configured by a static circuit for storing image signal sent over a

Kimura teaches a display device (col. 2, lines 19-67 and col. 3, lines 1-21); Kimura further

corresponding one of the data lines.

Art Unit: 2673

teaches a luminescent section including a plurality of luminescent elements having different areas (col. 4, lines 7-11); and an active devices section connected to the storing section and the luminescent section (col. 2, lines 32-35; col. 3, lines 58-65 and Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the device of Okumura et al. with the features as taught by Kimura in order provide luminescent elements with the means to provide different luminous intensity levels, and with the means to turn the luminescent elements ON/OFF by means of the said active element (TFT). Regarding claims 15-16, Kimura **teaches** the said display device wherein the plurality of luminescent elements are organic EL elements (col. 4, lines 7-11).

8. Claims 19, 22-25 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okumura et al. as applied to claim 1 in item 3 hereinabove, and further in view of Hebiguchi et al. (USP 6,583,777) taken with Smith (USP 6,278,428).

Regarding claims 19, 34 and 35, Okumura et al. further teaches the plurality of dots including an electro-optical section that performs an electro-optical conversion on the basis of the data signal held by the storing section (col. 17, lines 23-55).

Relative to claims 19 and 34-35 Okumura et al. **does not teach** a display device comprising an active-matrix section having a plurality of dots disposed correspondingly to intersections of the plurality of write lines and the plurality of data lines; or a column decoder section that selects a data line of the plurality of data lines and a row decoder section that selects a row of the plurality of write lines through which a write signal is transmitted, the write signal being supplied to only a dot to be written of the plurality of dots.

Page 9

Art Unit: 2673

Okumura et al. teaches a display device including a substrate, a plurality of pixels arranged in rows and columns on the substrate and a plurality of write lines and data lines for providing image signals to the pixels on a column by column basis wherein each of the pixels comprises a plurality of memory elements configured by a static circuit for storing image signal sent over a corresponding one of the data lines.

Hebiguchi et al. **teaches** an active matrix type liquid crystal display device (col. 3, lines 5-67; col. 4, lines 1-67; col. 5, lines 1-67; col. 6, lines 1-67 and col. 7, lines 1-35); Hebiguchi et al. further **teaches** said display device comprising a plurality of write lines; a plurality of data lines an active-matrix section having a plurality of dots disposed correspondingly to intersections of the plurality of write lines and the plurality of data lines (col. 8, lines 50-67; and col. 19, lines 52-62).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that to provide the device of Okumura et al. with the active matrix control elements as taught by Hebiguchi et al. in order to provide a ON/OFF control means to each of the matrix display elements.

Okumura et al. in view of Hebiguchi et al. **does not teach** a column decoder section that selects a data line of the plurality of data lines and a row decoder section that selects a row of the plurality of write lines through which a write signal is transmitted, the write signal being supplied to only a dot to be written of the plurality of dots.

Okamura et al. in view of Hebiguchi et al. teaches an active matrix display device including a substrate, a plurality of pixels arranged in rows and columns on the substrate and a plurality of write lines and data lines for providing image signals to the pixels on a column by column basis

Art Unit: 2673

wherein each of the pixels comprises a plurality of memory elements configured by a static circuit for storing image signal sent over a corresponding one of the data lines. Smith discloses column and row decoders for a matrix display.

Smith **teaches** an active matrix liquid crystal display panel (col. 2, lines 52-67 and col. 3, lines 1-23); Smith further **teaches** a column decoder section that selects a data line of the plurality of data lines and a row decoder section that selects a row of the plurality of write lines through which a write signal is transmitted, the write signal being supplied to only a dot to be written of the plurality of dots (col. 3, lines 51-63; col. 5, lines 61-67; col. 6, lines 1-5 and Fig. 6). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide to the device as taught by Okumura et al. in view of Hebiguchi et al. with the column and row decoder means in order to select the desired pixel to which a particular data signal is directed.

Regarding claim 22, Smith further **teaches** the said display device wherein the row decode section being allocated correspondingly to a length the active-matrix section in a column direction, and the column decoder section being allocated correspondingly to a length of the active-matrix section in a row direction. (Fig. 6).

Relative to claim 23, Smith further **teaches** the said display device further including a column selection switch section that transmits the data signal to a data line of the plurality of data lines selected by the column decoder section (col. 5, lines 6-20).

Regarding claims 24 and 25, Smith **teaches** the display device wherein the row decoder that selects a row of the plurality of write lines through which a write signal is transmitted on the

Art Unit: 2673

basis of an address signal; and the column decoder section that selects a data line of the plurality of data lines on the basis of an address signal. It is well know and in common practice in the art that the display element location to which a data signal is directed is originated from the address signal associated with the data.

Page 12

## Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 5, 7-8, 10-12, 18, 20-21, 26-27 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 5, the major difference between the teachings of the prior art of recored (USP 5,945,972, Okumura et al.), USP 5,515,068, Uragami et al., USP 6,518,941, Kimura; USP 6,583,777, Hebiguchi et al. and USP 6,278,428, Smith) and that of the instant invention is that said prior art **does not teach** said display device comprising a converting section converting a signal to the analog signal including gamma-characteristics.

Regarding claim 7, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of recored and that of the instant invention is that said prior art **does not teach** said display device wherein a duration that no conversion into the analog signal is made being provided in the constant period.

Regarding claim 10, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of recored and that of the instant invention is that said prior art **does not teach** said display device wherein the alternating current drive voltage being a voltage driven at VCOM+/-Va with respect to a reference voltage VCOM.

Art Unit: 2673

Regarding claim 11, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of recored and that of the instant invention is that said prior art **does not teach** said display device wherein the alternating current drive voltage being a voltage alternating-current-inversion-driven by two voltage-applying lines laid correspondingly to said dot array pattern.

Regarding claim 12, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of recored and that of the instant invention is that said prior art **does not teach** said display device wherein a plurality of rows of said dot array being provided by groups, and rows in pair being set in each of the groups to invert a phase of the alternating current drive voltage applied.

Regarding claim 18, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of recored and that of the instant invention is that said prior art **does not teach** said display device includes a plurality of read lies disposed correspondingly to the plurality of dots, read out of the data signal held by the storing section being performed when a read signal is transmitted through a respective one of the plurality of read lines.

Regarding claim 20, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of recored and that of the instant invention is that said prior art **does not teach** said display device wherein each of the plurality of dots further includes a converting section that converts a value based on a value of the data signal held by the storing section into an analog signal.

Regarding claim 21, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of recored and that of the instant invention is that said prior art **does not teach** said display device comprising a plurality of first lines for supplying a voltage as a power source to the storing section, the plurality of first lines being shared between two rows of the plurality of write signal lines.

Application/Control Number: 09/820,735 Page 14

Art Unit: 2673

Regarding claim 26, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of recored and that of the instant invention is that said prior art does not teach said display device including a plurality of pixels each of which being provided by three dots for red, green and blue, respectively, of the plurality of dots; the column decoder section selecting data lines of the plurality of dada lines corresponding to a respective pixel of the plurality of pixels; and the data signal being supplied together to the three dots included in a respective one of the plurality of pixels.

Regarding claim 27, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of recored and that of the instant invention is that said prior art **does not teach** said display device including a plurality of pixels each of which being provided by three dots for red, green and blue, respectively, of the plurality of dots; the column decoder section selecting data lines of the plurality of data lines corresponding to respective pixels of the plurality of pixels; and the data signal being supplied together to the three dots included in the respective pixels.

Regarding claim 30, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of recored and that of the instant invention is that said prior art **does not teach** said display device wherein the column selection switch section being allocated correspondingly to a length of the active-matrix section in a row direction

- 10. Claims 28 and 29 are allowed.
- 11. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 28, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of recored and that of the instant invention is that said prior art **does not teach** said display device comprising a substrate wherein the plurality of write lines, the plurality of data lines, the active-

Art Unit: 2673

matrix section, the column decoder section, the row decoder section and the timing controller section being integrally formed on the substrate.

Regarding claim 29, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of recored and that of the instant invention is that said prior art **does not teach** said display device comprising a substrate wherein the plurality of write lines, the plurality of data lines, the active-matrix section, the column decoder section, the row decoder section and the memory controller section being integrally formed on the substrate.

#### Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U. S. Patent No.	6,388,661	Richards
U. S. Patent No.	6,323,867	Nookala et al.
U. S. Patent No.	6,258,606	Kovacs
U. S. Patent No.	6,064,158	Kishita et al.
U. S. Patent No.	5,841,897	Nulmakura et al.

Art Unit: 2673

## Responses

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vincent E Kovalick whose telephone number is 703 306-3020. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30- 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703 305-4938. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9314 for regular communications and 703 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 306-0377.

Vincent E. Kovalick January 9, 2004

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000 Page 16